Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secretary Of Defense

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology

DATE: February 2012

BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

| COST (\$ in Millions) | | | FY 2013 | FY 2013 | FY 2013 | | | | | Cost To | | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| σσστ (ψ πι πιπιστισ) | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | Base | oco | Total | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Complete | Total Cost | | | | | |
| Total Program Element | 14.343 | 15.606 | 25.612 | - | 25.612 | 27.326 | 30.539 | 31.378 | 31.949 | Continuing | Continuing | | | | | |
| P002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology | 13.302 | 14.529 | 20.819 | - | 20.819 | 20.808 | 22.521 | 23.147 | 23.437 | Continuing | Continuing | | | | | |
| P301: Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology | 1.041 | 1.077 | 4.793 | - | 4.793 | 6.518 | 8.018 | 8.231 | 8.512 | Continuing | Continuing | | | | | |

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program addresses advanced technology development associated with improving the lethality, reliability, safety and survivability of munitions and weapon systems. The goal is to develop and demonstrate joint enabling technologies that can be used by program managers as they develop their specific weapon programs. The program invests in and demonstrates technologies from a Joint Service perspective, thus insuring the development of technology with the broadest applicability while avoiding duplication of efforts.

Munition Area Technology Groups (MATGs) and Fuze Area Technology Groups (FATGs) have been established for each munition and capability area and are tasked with 1) coordinating, establishing, and maintaining five, ten, and fifteen year technology development plans and roadmaps; 2) coordinating biannual meetings to review technical and programmatic details of each funded and proposed effort; 3) developing and submitting Technology Transition Agreements in coordination with appropriate Program Executive Offices (PEO) for insertion in their Insensitive Munition (IM) Strategic Plans / Fuze Technology Development Plan; and 4) interfacing with other MATGs / FATGs and IM / fuze science and technology projects as appropriate. The Joint Insensitive Munitions Technical Program (JIMTP) and Joint Fuze Technical Program (JFTP) will utilize a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) (consisting of senior DoD and DOE laboratory representatives and senior Munitions PEO representatives) to provide program oversight, policy, direction and priorities during its annual meeting.

The Insensitive Munitions effort will demonstrate enabling technologies needed to develop weapons in compliance with Insensitive Munitions requirements established in United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389 and DoDI 5000.1. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority munitions identified in the PEO IM Strategic Plans. Mature demonstrated IM technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other non-compliant munitions within their portfolios.

Under the JIMTP, investments are focused on five Munition Areas: High Performance Rocket Propulsion, Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion, Blast and Fragmentation Warheads, Anti-Armor Warheads, and Large Caliber Gun Propulsion. Munition Area Technology Groups, under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area which are used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the DoD IM Strategic Plan. These IM technologies, alone or in combination, will be incorporated in hardware, simulating real-world munitions, to demonstrate their utility and feasibility as part of Technology Transition Agreements with PEOs.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secretary Of Defense

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology

DATE: February 2012

BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

The Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology effort will also demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development (GDF) of the Force, the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority capabilities and technology needs identified and validated by the PEOs and the Heads of the Service Science and Technology (S&T) communities. In this way, promising multi-point initiation architectures, high reliability fuze architectures, survivable components, modular fuze packaging, and components produced based on ease of manufacturing can be integrated into a munition configuration and its ability to address required capability needs can be validated. Mature fuze technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing program costs and schedule risk and facilitating their spin-off into other munitions within their portfolios.

Under the JFTP, investments are focused on specific capability areas that have been identified by the Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and will be validated by the PEOs and the Heads of the Service S&T communities. These four capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing; 2) Tailorable Effects Weapon Fuzing; 3) High Reliability Fuzing; and 4) Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture.

| B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions) | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 Base | FY 2013 OCO | FY 2013 Total |
|---|---------|---------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| Previous President's Budget | 20.551 | 24.771 | 27.458 | <u>-</u> | 27.458 |
| Current President's Budget | 14.343 | 15.606 | 25.612 | - | 25.612 |
| Total Adjustments | -6.208 | -9.165 | -1.846 | - | -1.846 |
| Congressional General Reductions | - | - | | | |
| Congressional Directed Reductions | - | - | | | |
| Congressional Rescissions | - | - | | | |
| Congressional Adds | - | - | | | |
| Congressional Directed Transfers | - | - | | | |
| Reprogrammings | - | - | | | |
| SBIR/STTR Transfer | -0.072 | -0.060 | | | |
| Section 8014 - FFRDC | -0.057 | -0.105 | - | - | - |
| Economic Assumptions | -0.079 | - | - | - | - |
| Other Program Adjustments | -1.000 | - | -1.846 | - | -1.846 |
| Congressional Adjustments | -5.000 | -9.000 | - | - | - |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secretary Of Defense DAT | | | | | | | | DATE: Feb | ATE: February 2012 | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|---|---------|---------|-----------|---|---------------------|------------|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT 0400: Research, Development, Te BA 3: Advanced Technology Deve | ent, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide | | | | PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced | | | | OJECT 02: Insensitive Munitions Advanced chnology | | |
| COST (\$ in Millions) | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 Base | FY 2013 OCO | FY 2013 Total | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Cost To Complete | Total Cost |
| P002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology | 13.302 | 14.529 | 20.819 | - | 20.819 | 20.808 | 22.521 | 23.147 | 23.437 | Continuing | Continuing |

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program addresses advanced technology development associated with improving the lethality, reliability, safety and survivability of munitions and weapon systems. The goal is to develop and demonstrate joint enabling technologies that can be used by program managers as they develop their specific weapon programs. The program invests in and demonstrates technologies from a Joint Service perspective, thus insuring the development of technology with the broadest applicability while avoiding duplication of efforts.

This effort will demonstrate enabling technologies needed to develop weapons in compliance with Insensitive Munitions requirements established in United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389 and DoDI 5000.1. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority munitions identified in the PEO IM Strategic Plans. Mature demonstrated IM technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other non-compliant munitions within their portfolios.

The Joint Insensitive Munitions Technology Program, investments are focused on five Munition Areas: High Performance Rocket Propulsion, Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion, Blast and Fragmentation Warheads, Anti-Armor Warheads, and Large Caliber Gun Propulsion. Munition Area Technology Groups, under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area which is used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the DoD IM Strategic Plan. These IM technologies, alone or in combination, will be incorporated in hardware, simulating real-world munitions, to demonstrate their utility and feasibility as part of Technology Transition Agreements with PEOs.

This effort will also demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the GDF, the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority capabilities and technology needs identified and validated by the PEOs and the Heads of the Service Science and Technology (S&T) communities. In this way, promising multi-point initiation architectures, high reliability fuze architectures, survivable components, modular fuze packaging, and components produced based on ease of manufacturing can be integrated into a munition configuration and its ability to address required capability needs can be validated. Mature fuze technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing program costs and schedule risk and facilitating their spin-off into other munitions within their portfolios.

| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Title: High Performance Rocket Propulsion | 2.974 | 3.075 | 4.232 |
| Description: High Performance Rocket Propulsion is focused on the development and demonstration of technologies to improve the IM response of High Performance Propulsion systems (rocket motors with Ammonium Perchlorate and with or without a | | | |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secr | retary Of Defense | | DATE: Fel | oruary 2012 | | |
|---|--|--|-----------|-------------|---------|--|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE | PROJECT | | | | |
| 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) | PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology | P002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology | | | | |
| BA 3. Advanced Technology Development (ATD) | recimology | reciliolog | у | | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | |
| metal fuel) for rockets and missiles launched from air, ground and semotors, improve IM response to one or more threats, while not degra munition performance. Operating conditions may be controlled or wide include, but are not limited to, rocket propellant ingredients (including or smokey propellants (including formulation, characterization and sc passive thermal mitigation, shock mitigation materials and techniques techniques for motor cases or containers, ignition systems, sensors a year goals of the HPP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM resp Impacts and Slow Cookoff for the majority of High Performance Propulsion motors. | ding the response to other IM threats and at least modely varying in both temperature and vibration. Tect synthesis, characterization and scale-up), reduced cale-up), rocket motor case design, materials for actions, passive and active coatings, active and passive vand thrust mitigation techniques. The five, ten, and coonse of missile propulsions systems due to Fragmer | haintaining hnologies smoke ive and enting fifteen ent | | | | |
| FY 2011 Accomplishments: • Demonstrated 100 pound propellant processing, validating manufaceigh inch composite rocket motors and subjected them to standard IN • Conducted component validation testing. Refined and integrated no demonstration in preparation for full scale IM demonstration testing. | M tests. | ration in | | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: Conduct aging study and full scale IM demonstration tests on new proton static test firing to demonstrate propellant performance. Fabricate five inch rocket motors using novel rocket motor design, and fast and slow cookoff. Transition to Navy Future Naval Capabilities. Contract to manufacture seven inch diameter rocket motor cases up baseline IM testing. | and conduct IM testing to include bullet and fragme | nt impact, | | | | |
| FY 2013 Plans: • Conduct baseline slow cookoff and fragment impact IM testing in semotors, prepare and conduct baseline fast cookoff and bullet impact additional IM testing. | | | | | | |
| Title: Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion | | | 3.076 | 3.371 | 4.629 | |
| Description: Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion is focused on the improve the IM response of Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion Tominimum signature (MS) rocket technologies, that when applied to m | echnologies. The development and demonstration | of | | | | |

UNCLASSIFIED

| | UNULAGGII ILD | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secr | etary Of Defense | | DATE: Fe | bruary 2012 | |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology | PROJECT P002: Ins Technolog | ensitive Mur | ced | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 |
| one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats include but are not limited to MS rocket propellant formulations synthesis, characterization and scale-up), case and packaging design design, ignition systems and thrust mitigation techniques. Of particular propellants with state-of-the-art energy and reduced shock sensitivity are concentrated on solving the IM response of missile propulsion systems. | s, ingredients for MS propellant formulations (include), active and passive venting techniques, rocket mo ar interest are technologies toward higher burning i . The five, ten, and fifteen year goals of the MSP N | ding otor case rate MS MATG | | | |
| FY 2011 Accomplishments: Conducted IM tests on composite and metal case motors using base. Scaled up novel propellant formulation to five gallon scale and conconfinement IM testing. Completed mechanism design for unique large rocket motor venting. Characterized propellant in preparation to conduct slow cookoff tes. Completed design of rocket motor thermal ring venting mechanism candidate manufacturing materials to prepare to manufacture venting. | ducted physical property tests and prepared sample g technique. ting. unique to small rocket motor and container. Down | es for | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: Conduct slow cookoff and fragment impact reliability testing of moto propellant down-select testing. Prepare, load, and conduct IM tests on propellant candidates in meta propellants. Scale up additional novel propellant formulation to five g samples for seven inch rocket motor testing. Refine vent mechanism design, manufacture and test components testing on large scale motor. Conduct aging and environmental tests of rocket motor thermal ring and conduct fast and slow cookoff tests using inert as well as live roc determine benefits of both systems. Manufacture and conduct characterization testing of unique propell operator-friendly properties. FY 2013 Plans: | I and composite cases, for direct comparison with a allon scale and conduct physical property tests and to validate precision and accuracy. Conduct slow of venting mechanism. Modify containers with ventified motors modified with the case venting mechaniant for man-portable weapons with minimum signary. | cookoff ng system sm to | | | |
| Load demonstrator motor with down-select propellant formulation, i tests. | ncorporate case enhancements and prepare to cor | nduct IM | | | |

UNCLASSIFIED

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secr | etary Of Defense | | DATE: Fel | bruary 2012 | | |
|--|--|---|---------------|----------------------------|---------|--|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology | PROJECT P002: Inse Technolog | ensitive Muni | nsitive Munitions Advanced | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | Γ | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | |
| Complete full scale slow cookoff and fragment impact testing of uni signature and operator-friendly properties. Conduct full scale motor static tests of IM propellants. Prepare to c IM and ballistic properties in full scale test and transition to 6.4 Insense. | demonstrate reduced sensitivity minimum signature | | | | | |
| Title: Blast and Fragmentation Warheads | | | 4.933 | 3.141 | 7.203 | |
| Description: Blast and Fragmentation Warheads (BFW) - Focus on a improve the IM response of Blast / Fragmentation munitions. The deexplosives and warhead and fuze technologies that, when applied to while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least mai Munition operating conditions may be controlled or have widely varying vibration, and other factors such as cost, availability, reliability may be application. Applications vary but include high performance warhead bulk fills for blast and/or fragmentation charges. Technologies include characterization, initial formulation development, scale-up, warhead/or and their containers, protection / packaging materials and systems, stechnologies. The five, ten, or fifteen year goals of the BFW MATG a warheads to the Sympathetic Detonation, Fast Cookoff and SCJ three. | evelopment and demonstration of explosive ingredice munitions, improve IM response to one or more through munition performance are of particular integrations and environmental conditions, such as temperature are critically important depending on the intended munifills, booster explosives, bulk demolition charges, are but are not limited to new ingredient synthesis and charge configuration, venting techniques for both much mitigation liners, initiation devices, techniques are concentrated on solving the IM response of blast | ents, eats, erest. and nition and d unitions s, and | | | | |
| FY 2011 Accomplishments: Completed 500 pound bomb explosive fill and unique manufacturin started loading of assets to prepare for shipment for testing. Item two demonstration of new initiation system with less-sensitive explosive file. Loaded various mortars with down-selected formulation candidates methods, comparing results to current fielded munitions. Completed fabrication and environmental testing of new initiation sy validation testing using unique explosives to ensure functionality of in Scaled-up and manufactured explosive booster materials in 30-40 ppellets for testing. | o (10-3-10) IM Focused Energy Initiation - Begin intells. s and conducted IM tests using various manufacturing stem for very insensitive main charge explosive fills itiator. | egrated ng s. Started | | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: Conduct full scale IM and performance tests on unique 500 pound selection of final candidate for transition to responsible program manager. Complete validation testing using unique explosives to ensure functions. | | re for | | | | |

| cretary Of Defense | | DATE: Fel | oruary 2012 | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology | | ensitive Muni | tions Advanc | ced |
| | | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 |
| of materials. Conduct environmental and IM tests to it instrumental bomb fill materials. Use sympathetic reader refinement. | | | | |
| assets for full-scale IM tests. | • | | | |
| | | 1.174 | 2.521 | 2.45 |
| head munitions. The development of explosive ingre o munitions, improve IM response to one or more thraintaining munition performance. Munition operating conditions, such as temperature and vibration, and portant depending on the intended munition application explosives, and all other technology to mitigate the ogies include but are not limited to new ingredient synthead/charge configuration, venting techniques for both systems, shock mitigation liners, initiation devices, to MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response and a five year goal of solving Sympathetic Detonation | on. e violent hthesis th echniques, of anti- | | | |
| | PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology prepare for IM tests using system level hardware. In materials. Conduct environmental and IM tests to it must baseline bomb fill materials. Use sympathetic read or refinement. In attemption to compare to baseline fills. Abricate initiation systems and conduct IM tests using assets for full-scale IM tests. In act small-scale tests as well as full Bucket Test series and demonstration of explosive ingredients, explosive nead munitions. The development of explosive ingredients on munitions, improve IM response to one or more threaintaining munition performance. Munition operating conditions, such as temperature and vibration, and portant depending on the intended munition application application in the properties of the propert | PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology prepare for IM tests using system level hardware. If materials. Conduct environmental and IM tests to include full and baseline bomb fill materials. Use sympathetic reaction or refinement. It is attentional to compare to baseline fills. Abricate initiation systems and conduct IM tests using system assets for full-scale IM tests. It is small-scale tests as well as full Bucket Test series. and demonstration of explosive ingredients, explosives, mead munitions. The development of explosive ingredients, on munitions, improve IM response to one or more threats, aintaining munition performance. Munition operating conditions, such as temperature and vibration, and portant depending on the intended munition application. The explosives, and all other technology to mitigate the violent or explosives, and all other technology to mitigate the violent or explosives, shock mitigation liners, initiation devices, techniques, MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of antificiand a five year goal of solving Sympathetic Detonation threats, | PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology FY 2011 prepare for IM tests using system level hardware. If materials. Conduct environmental and IM tests to include full must baseline bomb fill materials. Use sympathetic reaction for refinement. It is attentional to compare to baseline fills. Abricate initiation systems and conduct IM tests using system assets for full-scale IM tests. It is small-scale tests as well as full Bucket Test series. 1.174 and demonstration of explosive ingredients, explosives, mead munitions. The development of explosive ingredients, on munitions, improve IM response to one or more threats, aintaining munition performance. Munition operating conditions, such as temperature and vibration, and portant depending on the intended munition application. For explosives, and all other technology to mitigate the violent objects include but are not limited to new ingredient synthesis head/charge configuration, venting techniques for both systems, shock mitigation liners, initiation devices, techniques, MATG are concentrated on solving Sympathetic Detonation threats, | PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology PE002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology |

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 7 of 13

| | ONOLINOON ILD | | | | |
|--|--|---|----------|-------------|---------|
| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secr | retary Of Defense | | DATE: Fe | bruary 2012 | |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology | PROJECT P002: Inse Technolog | ced | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) Integrated design and test hardware selection to complete explosive preparations. | ve candidate selection and began subscale testing | | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 |
| FY 2012 Plans: Load hardware and conduct IM and performance tests to validate putransition to a program of record. Optimize phase one designs based upon small and large warhead designs and optimize design for fast and slow cookoff and bullet and fragment impact testing. | | | | | |
| FY 2013 Plans: Fabricate optimized design, and conduct engineering and penetrat scale fragment and bullet impact and fast and slow cookoff tests usin Conduct modeling and simulation down-selection of candidate tech for higher velocity munition requirements. | ng selected explosives. | | | | |
| Title: Large Caliber Gun Propulsion | | | 1.145 | 2.421 | 2.298 |
| Description: Large Caliber Gun Propulsion (LCGP) is focused on the area of Gun Propulsion Technologies. The development and demon munition systems, will improve munition IM response to one or more and at least maintaining munition performance. Topics include but a gun propellant formulations (including synthesis, characterization and and passive venting techniques, reduced sensitivity primer propellant propellants. Applications vary, but include both large and medium cafactors such as barrel life and operation over varying environmental cintended munition application. The five, ten,and fifteen year goals of response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragment Impact, Shaped Company of the service of the | stration of gun propulsion technologies, when application threats, while not degrading the response to other I are not limited to gun propellant formulations, ingredict scale-up), cartridge case and packaging design, at and primer systems and robust primers for insensicaliber munitions. Operating requirements vary, and conditions may be critically important depending on the LCGP MATG are concentrated on solving the I | ed to M threats ents for ctive tive other the | | | |
| FY 2011 Accomplishments: Optimized propellant formulations, manufactured propellant, and conducted and manufactured primer and conducted aging studies. Continuous conducted aging studies. | | | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

UNCLASSIFIED

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secreta | ary Of Defense | | sensitive Munitions Advanced | | |
|--|---|-----------|------------------------------|---------|--|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE | PROJEC | Т | | |
| 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide | PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced | P002: Ins | sensitive Munitions Advanced | | |
| BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) | Technology | Technolo | | | |
| | <u> </u> | , | | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | |

| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| • Conduct primer testing and final IM testing of propellant and primer optimization formulations less sensitive to fragment impact, | | | |
| shaped charge jet impacts and slow and fast cookoff. | | | |
| FY 2013 Plans: | | | |
| Conduct initial container venting design, manufacture, and tests. | | | |
| Develop tactical primer designs based upon unique primer tube technologies and conduct initial IM tests. | | | |
| Integrate novel insensitive propellant technologies to develop propellant charge for new gun system. | | | |
| Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals | 13.302 | 14.529 | 20.819 |

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

| | | - | FY 2013 | FY 2013 | FY 2013 | | | | | Cost To | |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|-------------------|
| <u>Line Item</u> | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | Base | OCO | <u>Total</u> | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Complete | Total Cost |
| • 0602000D8Z P000: <i>BA2</i> | 14.177 | 14.495 | 14.216 | | 14.216 | 14.449 | 14.858 | 15.466 | 15.675 | Continuing | Continuing |
| Insensitive Munitions | | | | | | | | | | | |

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

- 1) Transitions of technologies developed by the program are tracked and documented using DoD/NASA Technical Readiness Level (TRL) scale.
- 2) MATG Technology Roadmaps are prepared, evaluated, and analyzed by JIMTP management and technical staff.
- 3) Chairman's Annual Assessments for each MATG are critically reviewed by the TAC to determine progress, transition plans, and relevance of each project.
- 4) Projects progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each MATG meeting.
- 5) Annual technical reports and papers are tracked and documented for the Program.
- 6) External Peer Review of Projects are conducted as part of Joint Army/Navy/NASA/Air Force meetings.
- 7) Technology Transition Agreements are in place with Munition programs.

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secretary Of Defense | | | | | | | | | DATE: February 2012 | | | |
|--|---------|---------|-----------------|--|------------------|---------|---------|---|---------------------|---------------------|------------|--|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) | | | | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced Technology | | | | PROJECT P301: Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology | | | | |
| COST (\$ in Millions) | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 Base | FY 2013 OCO | FY 2013 Total | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Cost To Complete | Total Cost | |
| P301: Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology | 1.041 | 1.077 | 4.793 | - | 4.793 | 6.518 | 8.018 | 8.231 | 8.512 | Continuing | Continuing | |

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This effort will demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development of the Force, the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will take promising technologies integrated and tested to Technical Readiness Level (TRL) five and demonstrate the technologies to a TRL-6 utilizing weapon hardware based on priority capabilities and technology needs identified and validated by the Program Executive Officers (PEOs) and the Heads of the Service S&T communities. Mature demonstrated fuze technology will be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other munitions within their portfolios. Under the Joint Fuze Technology Program (JFTP), investments are focused on specific capability areas that have been identified by Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and validated by the PEOs and Heads of the Service S&T communities. These four capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects (TE) Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, 4) and Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture.

| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 |
|--|------------|---------|---------|
| Title: Hard Target Fuzing | 0.324 | 0.328 | 1.123 |
| Description: The Hard Target Fuzing challenges are grouped into three Technology Areas. Improved modeling and simulatic capabilities provide the validated computational tools necessary for hard target applications. Basic Phenomenology & Understanding of the Fuze Environment is the science-based endeavor of providing the test equipment, instrumentation, and analysis techniques for experimentation and data gathering necessary for Hardware Development - Next Generation Fuzing. This technology area aims to increase the effectiveness of facility denial munitions by improving the prediction tools and testimethodologies to evaluate the survivability and functionality of legacy and future fuzes. Development of these technologies we enable next generation boosted and hypersonic penetrators to execute missions against hardened and deeply buried targets. | ng vill | | |
| FY 2011 Accomplishments: Continued development of a survivable post-impact intelligent module and fire-set that would become part of a miniaturized for next generation penetrating weapons. This Hardened Miniature Fuze Technology (HMFT) module possesses the capabil media discrimination (concrete, soil, air, etc.) as the penetrator host progresses through the target. | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: - Build HMFT technology hardware for survivability and functionality evaluation in sled testing against complex penetration targets. | | | |

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secr | retary Of Defense | | DATE: Feb | oruary 2012 | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE | PROJEC | Τ | | |
| 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) | P301: <i>En</i> | Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology | | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 |
| Start to develop test, redesign and demonstrate recorders in high s fuze technology for multi-role common miniature munitions with distril | | nodular | | | |
| FY 2013 Plans: | | | | | |
| Incorporate and demonstrate fuze recorders in high speed and boo Continue to develop survivable modular fuze technology for application distributed/embedded fuzes. | | า | | | |
| Title: Tailorable Effects Fuzing | | | 0.388 | 0.430 | 1.220 |
| Description: Develop fuzing for tailorable effects weapons that enco weapon (Dial-a-Yield) and/or the ability to generate selectable effects point technologies; electronic safe and arm based multi-point initiator. Mechanical Systems (MEMS) based multi-point initiators for tunable tailorable effects weapons. These technologies will enable weapons minimizing unintentional collateral effects. | s (directed blast, fragmentation). Develop initiation are s for tunable output – scalable yield warheads; Micro output/scalable yield warheads; and smart fuzing for | ind multi- oElectro- | | | |
| FY 2011 Accomplishments: - Developed selectable yield warhead multi-point initiation architecture lower energy detonators/initiators and b) non-conventional multi-point | | | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: - Apply yield warhead initiation architecture and control technologies - Complete development of advanced micro-transformer technology a | | ms. | | | |
| FY 2013 Plans: - Conduct demonstration tests of selectable yield warhead initiation a particular, Army Technology Objectives (ATOs) related to tailorable e Scalable Technology for Adaptable Response ATO and the Sensor V ATO. | effects will benefit from the 6.3 JFTP efforts. They are | е | | | |
| Title: High Reliability Fuzing | | | - | 0.119 | 1.310 |
| Description: Develop high reliability fuzing architectures, fuzing comfeatures. These technologies will enable the next generation of clust reliability goal. Evolving DoD emphasis on increased weapon system approaches for achieving increased fuze reliability while maintaining of the control of the contr | er munitions to achieve the required greater than 99 n reliability is driving the need to consider new and n | % ovel | | | |

UNCLASSIFIED

| | 5110±715011 1±5 | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|
| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secretary | retary Of Defense | | DATE : Fe | bruary 2012 | |
| APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) | CT nabling Fuze Advanced Technology | | | | |
| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) | | Γ | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 |
| reliability expectations and harsher weapon system operational requiavailable using current technologies. | irements are dictating the need for higher fuze relial | oility than | | | |
| FY 2011 Accomplishments: - Researched, developed and demonstrated MEMS device compone applications Developed and build test phase one high reliability fuze architecture maintaining safety by eliminating single-point and common-mode fail | e technology prototypes that satisfy reliability while | iitions fuze | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: Continue research, development and demonstration of MEMS deviations fuze applications. Continue development and build test phase one high reliability fuze while maintaining safety by eliminating single-point and common-more | e architecture technology prototypes that satisfy reli | | | | |
| FY 2013 Plans: - Develop and build phase two high reliability fuze architecture techn safety by eliminating single-point and common-mode failures. - Demonstrate high reliability miniature fuzes in air-gun testing, that seediness Level (TRL) five. | | | | | |
| Title: Enabling Fuze Technologies | | | 0.329 | 0.200 | 1.140 |
| Description: Develop common / modular fuze architecture; innovative fuze setting capability, tools and modeling; and fuzing power sources effective solutions while meeting or exceeding the performance of exemple enable future weapon applications to be more mission adaptive and | s. These fuzing technologies will provide smaller, makes technologies. Development of these technologies. | ore cost ogies will | | | |
| FY 2011 Accomplishments: - Fabricated and tested first phase MEMS retard and impact sensors: - Applied common fuze architecture technology concepts in bomb, modularity, common components and packaging for lower cost and re | nissile, and artillery fuze applications to provide enh | | | | |
| FY 2012 Plans: - Build and test second phase miniature retard and impact sensors for relevant environments simulating bomb deployment. | or bomb and air dropped munitions. Testing will be | in | | | |
| | | | | | |

UNCLASSIFIED

| Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Office of Secretar | DATE: February 2012 | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide | R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603000D8Z: Joint Munitions Advanced | PROJECT P301: Enab | oling Fuze Advanced Technology |
| BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) | Technology | | |

| B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) - Conduct functional and safety assessment and testing of common fuze architecture technologies: safety components, modular electronics, sensors, interfaces, and packaging. | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| FY 2013 Plans: Conduct air-drop demonstration testing miniature retard and impact sensors. Transition sensor technology into bomb fuzing applications. Begin advanced, exploitation resistant proximity sensor advanced technology development. | | | |
| Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals | 1.041 | 1.077 | 4.793 |

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

| | | | FY 2013 | FY 2013 | FY 2013 | | | | | Cost To | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|-------------------|
| Line Item | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | Base | OCO | <u>Total</u> | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | Complete | Total Cost |
| • 0602000D8Z P204: BA2 Enabling | 5.740 | 5.833 | 6.398 | | 6.398 | 6.367 | 7.028 | 7.211 | 7.418 | Continuing | Continuing |
| Fuze Technology | | | | | | | | | | | |

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

- 1) Transitions of technologies developed by the Program are tracked and documented using DoD/NASA TRL scale.
- 2) FATG Technology Roadmaps are prepared, evaluated, and analyzed by JFTP management and technical staff.
- 3) Chairman's Annual Assessments for each FATG are critically reviewed by the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to determine progress, transition plans, and relevance of each project.
- 4) Project progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each FATG meeting.
- 5) Annual technical reports and papers are tracked and documented for the Program.
- 6) Technology Transition Agreements are in place with Munition programs.